|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date: 14th February 2019**  **Time: 1330**  **Venue: SIS MR 4.7** | |
| **Attendees:** | |
| 1. Celina | √ |
| 2. Wendy | √ |
| 3. Xavier |  |
| 4. Jin | √ |
| 5. Haonan | √ |
| 6. Alan |  |
| 7. Regan | √ |
| 8. Ashley | √ |
| 9. Zer Dong | √ |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Agenda** | |
| **No.** | **Description** |
| 1. | Relevant Jobs display |
| 2. | Skills analysis |
| 3. | Further discussion and feedback-gathering of UI changes |

**Relevant jobs display**

Boolean query is currently used to provide scoring for relevant jobs ranking. It was suggested that the jobs shown in the career path can also be clicked on to show the details of that job. Because not all jobs have proper progression paths or even salaries, a “no information” message would be displayed instead. Celina and Wendy suggest that these shortcomings be communicated to LARC.

**Skills analysis**

Suggestions include clicking on skills not yet acquired by students to lead to a course that teaches that skill along with its corresponding track. It is important that the skills be mapped to a course in as many cases as possible, and to do so the matching criteria may be relaxed to ensure a match.

Other UI suggestions are to shift the salary graph in JobDetails up to be placed next to the skills list, and to include the job title searched in that page. Celina mentions that the salary provided by Jobsense in some cases may reflect that of the entire industry and not the job, thus we would have to check with Xavier on the information provided by Jobsense.

**The meeting was adjourned at 1430. These minutes will be circulated and adopted if there are no amendments reported in the next three days.**
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